Gazette and copies thereof were also circulated among the Members. It will be seen from the Report that Mr. Kesava Pillai, a Member of the Select Committee, has recorded a dissenting minute. Due intimation of these amendments has also been given to the Members. I move that the Report be now taken up for consideration by the Council.

Seconded by Mr. Krishnan Nair.

The motion was put and agreed to.

Section 1, Clause (4) i (e).

Mr. Kesava Pillai.—I propose that the following proviso be inserted after the words "High Court" at the end of this sub-clause:—"Provided that a single Judge shall not have the power to dispose of an application to implead the representative of a deceased appellant or respondent, when it is opposed as out of time, and the date of the death of the appellant or respondent is a matter in dispute between the parties." This proviso is necessary, as, otherwise, the clause would practically tie the hands of a Division Bench by reason of the order of a single Judge.

Seconded by Mr. Madhavan Tampi.

Carried.

Section 1, Clause (4) i (f).

Mr. Kesava Pillai.—I propose that the word "or" at the end of line 11, be omitted and that the words "or order" be inserted between the words "sentence" and "of" in line 12.

Seconded by Mr. Easwara Pillai.

Carried.

Mr. Kesava Pillai.—I also propose that the following proviso be added at the end of this sub-clause:—

"Provided that a single Judge shall not have the power to reject an application by a prisoner to revise the conviction or sentence against him." This amendment is necessary to preserve the privilege which a prisoner now enjoys.

Seconded by Mr. Madhavan Tampi.

After some discussion, Mr. Kesava Pillai withdrew the amendment.

Section 1, Clause (4) iii.

Mr. Kesava Pillai.—I propose that this clause be omitted because it is not known what all applications are meant to be included in it.

Seconded by Mr. Madhavan Tampi.

Carried.

Section 2.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I propose that the words "2nd Edavom 1086" in line 3 and the words "15th May 1911" in line 4 be omitted, as these dates have to be altered.

Seconded by Mr. Vieyra.

Carried.

Mr. Ananda Row.—I beg to move that the Bill as now amended by the Council, be read again on a future day of which notice shall be given.

Seconded by Mr. Krishnan Nair.

Carried.

THE REGISTRATION BILL.

Mr. Raman Pillai.—I beg to move that the Registration Bill, as amended by the Council, be taken up for final reading.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Seconded by Mr. Subrahmanya Iyer.

Carried.

President.—In Section 1, Clause (2), the date on which the Regulation shall ıe into force, has to be put in. Considering that the Registration Department ıs to familiarise itself with the new law before it is worked, it would probably be well that the Regulation takes effect some time later on.

Mr. Raman Pillai.—I think that the date may be fixed as 1st Vrischigam 1087, and I propose that the word "1st" be inserted between the words "the" and "day" in lines 1 and 2 and the word "Vrischigam" between the words "of" and "108" and the figure "7" after the figure "108" in line 2.

Seconded by Mr. Vieyra.

Carried.

Mr. Raman Pillai.—In consequence of the above amendment, corresponding ɜrations have to be made in Section 2 also. I therefore propose that, in Section Clause (3), the words "the 1st day" be inserted between the words "before" [illegible]" in line 2 and the word "Vrischigam" between the word "of" and the 108" in the same line, and also the figure "7" between the figure "108" word "to" in line 2.

Seconded by Mr. Vieyra.

Carried.

Mr. Raman Pillai.—I beg to move that the Bill, as now amended, be finally nd passed.

Seconded by Mr. Subrahmanya Iyer.

Carried.

THE TOBACCO BILL.

Mr. Raman Pillai.—The Tobacco Bill now comes up for final reading in the ncil. Before moving that it be read again and passed, I have, on behalf of the ernment, to propose an alteration to Section 6 of the Bill. The Section autho- es all officers of the Excise, Police or Land Revenue Department to arrest, in the en, persons committing any offence against the provisions of the Bill. The pro- o to this Section, as it now stands, enables all such officers down to the grade of ɔns to admit to bail the persons so arrested. By the corresponding pro- ion of the British Abkari Act, the power to admit to bail offenders arrested ler similar circumstances is vested only in such officers as are authorised by the vernment either by name or in virtue of their offices. I beg to move that Sec- ı 6 be amended as follows:—

After Clause (*b*), insert a separate para:—

"Our Government may, by Notification, and subject to such conditions as ıay be prescribed in such Notification, empower all or any of the officers or classes ıf officers mentioned in para 1 of this Section, either by name or in virtue of their ɔffices, throughout the State or in any local area, to admit a person arrested under his Section to bail to appear when summoned or otherwise directed, before an Excise ɔfficer having jurisdiction to enquire into the offence for which such person has been arrested, and may cancel or vary such Notification."

Substitute the following for the proviso now attached to the Section:—

"Provided that, if the officer making the arrest under this Section be not empowered as aforesaid to admit to bail, the person arrested shall be forthwith forwarded to the nearest officer so empowered.

And it shall be the duty of such officer empowered as aforesaid to admit such person to bail if sufficient bail be tendered for his appearance before an Excise ɔfficer having jurisdiction to enquire into the case."

Se onded by Mr. Vieyra.

Ca ied.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly